

World Towns Agreement *A Research Informed Commentary*

Professor Gary Warnaby

(Institute of Place Management and University of Manchester)

and

Professor Cathy Parker

(Institute of Place Management, Manchester Metropolitan University)

In discussing his home city of Lisbon, novelist and Nobel Laureate José Saramago (2012, p.4) states:

*“What we know of places is how we coincide with them over a certain period of time in the spaces they occupy. The place was there, the person appeared, then the person left, the place continued, the place having made the person, the person having transformed the place”**

In developing ‘a Public-Private-Social Vision for Urban Centres’, participants at the World Towns Leadership Summit, accept that people make places, and vice versa. This commentary considers each overarching principle of the World Towns Agreement and how it is underpinned by academic evidence, undertaken by researchers affiliated to the Institute of Place Management, based at Manchester Metropolitan University

* Saramago, J. (2010). The Notebook. London & New York: Verso

Overarching principle 1: The unique identity of place

Places are complex and, in many ways, kaleidoscopic entities, with a multitude of different facets, depending on the perspective from which they are observed (Warnaby, 2009). Indeed, each place is unique: a result of features such as its morphology and topography, built environment, population, history, economic and social activities, etc. (Warnaby, 2009). Communicating this uniqueness, to differentiate (or even delineate) a locale is a crucial aspect of place management, and also place marketing/branding activities (Kalandides, 2011; Skinner, 2011; Warnaby & Medway, 2013). The nature of the urban place 'product' being managed and marketed can be changed (Parker, Ntounis, Quin & Millington, 2016), with input from numerous place stakeholders (Le Feuvre, Medway, Warnaby, Ward and Goatman, 2016), thereby incorporating a plurality of perspectives (Warnaby & Medway 2013). Indeed, the place 'product' is, arguably, 'co-created' by all those individuals, groups and institutions located therein (Warnaby & Medway, 2013, 2015), and appropriate processes need to be put in place to facilitate this (Stubbs & Warnaby, 2015).

Important activities include developing a vision for the place (Warnaby, Bennison, Davies and Hughes, 2002), in which as many stakeholders as possible can share. However, the inherent complexity of places, as noted above, will lead to alternative voices articulating their particular points of view and creating competing narratives of the place (Henshaw, Medway, Warnaby and Perkins, 2016; Koeck and Warnaby, 2015). Thus, we need to recognise that there is always more than one way to enable the creation of a vibrant and successful place. We must also appreciate that everyone has their own personal perception of a place. Therefore, **we should amend this overarching principle to read the unique *identities* of place** to ensure we do not fall into the trap of thinking place identity is fixed, objective and never contested (Kalandides, 2011).

Overarching principle 2: Local economies

An urban place incorporates numerous land uses and economic activities, which require effective management if comparative advantage is to be achieved. In their pursuit of local economic development, individual places need to focus on managing and promoting those assets that constitute strengths and possible opportunities into the future. For example, given the importance of retailing to many local urban economies (Bennison, Warnaby & Pal, 2010), managing and promoting this urban activity is crucial (Warnaby et al., 2002; Warnaby, Bennison & Davies, 2005a, 2005b). Moreover, the development of differentiated retail activities, such as local markets (Hallsworth, Ntounis, Parker & Quin, 2015; Warnaby, 2013) and also a focus on the experiential aspects of urban retail destinations (Oakes and Warnaby, 2011; Warnaby, 2009) offer opportunities for developing competitive advantage in this regard. In addition, the role of specific neighbourhoods in creating place distinctiveness should not be ignored (Bennison, Warnaby & Medway, 2007; Kalandides & Vaiou, 2012).

If places are going to fulfill their potential, then the importance of sharing knowledge, insights and information across all relevant stakeholders (an issue considered in more detail in Overarching Principle 3) is an important facilitating factor (Parker, Ntounis, Quin and Grime, 2014).

Nevertheless, economic 'success' will be affected by a multitude of factors, the majority of which are outside of a location's control (Parker et al., 2016). Therefore, local economies should be understood in comparative terms. To achieve this overarching principle **local leaders need to become much more adept in gathering and interpreting data and using this evidence to make much more locally-relevant (but globally astute) decisions** (Millington, Ntounis, Parker and Quin, 2015).

Overarching principle 3: Governance and citizenship

The multiplicity of potential urban stakeholders has been acknowledged above, and the existence of strong structures and networks for the effective management and marketing of places is imperative (Coca-Stefaniak, Parker, Quin & Rinaldi, 2009; Warnaby, Alexander and Medway, 1998), including processes for performance measurement (Hogg, Medway & Warnaby, 2004, 2007). Actor interaction within these networks can be both formal and informal, and can be ongoing, or more ad hoc as circumstances (or specific management/marketing initiatives) dictate - (see Warnaby et al., 2002, 2005a). Within these networks, effective working between public, private and voluntary sector actors is important (Le Feuvre et al., 2016). The inclusivity and openness of these networks is critical to their success, with relevant stakeholders contributing appropriately (Medway, Alexander, Bennison & Warnaby, 1999; Medway, Warnaby, Bennison & Alexander 2000), and avoiding the problem of free-riding (Forsberg, Medway & Warnaby, 1999). However, to achieve this guiding principle **stakeholders will require training, education, guidance and support to be able to participate as effectively as possible** (Byrom, Parker & Harris, 2002; Hudson-Davies & Parker, 2002; Parker & Anthony-Winter, 2003).

Overarching principle 4: Environments

The environment within which urban management initiatives operate is an important factor influencing their efficacy (Parker et al., 2016). Also, the quality of the urban built environment is important in retail success (De Nisco and Warnaby, 2013, 2014) and place differentiation (Warnaby, 2009). As noted above, towns exist in a somewhat competitive context as they compete for public funds, economic activity, and tourists, etc. However, the environment within a specific place influences perceptions of its economic and social vitality (see Medway, Parker & Roper, 2016; Parker, Roper & Medway, 2015; Roper & Parker, 2013, with specific reference to litter). For many people, 'the environment' is very local – it is the availability of greenspace or the quality and cleanliness of public space – which is intrinsically linked to people's perceptions of crime and safety and their wellbeing (Medway, Parker and Roper, 2016). Therefore, this overarching principle is **an opportunity to focus on 'ordinary placemaking' (Millington and Kyte, 2016)** impacting on the everyday lived experiences of millions of people who reside in, work in or visit our towns (Edensor and Millington, in press).

As implied in the quotation from José Saramago, which begins this commentary, as individuals and institutions, we pass through places. What we need to ensure is that, for the period we live and/or work in urban places, our stewardship of them is as responsible as possible. This can be accomplished inter alia by effective management, which in turn can be informed by research. At the Institute of Place Management we undertake world-leading research specifically for the people that serve places. From interrogating the overarching principles articulated within the World Towns Agreement using our own research, **we are confident the principles are both significant and robust**. They stand-up to academic scrutiny and, collectively, they can strengthen the position, understanding and narrative of urban centres, around the world.

References

- Bennison, D., Warnaby, G. and Medway, D. (2007). The role of quarters in large city centres: A Mancunian Case Study', *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 35 (8), pp. 626-638.
- Bennison, D., Warnaby, G. and Pal, J. (2010). Local shopping in the UK: Towards a synthesis of business and place, *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 38 (11/12), pp. 846-864.
- Byrom, J., Parker, C. and Harris, J. (2002). Towards a healthy high street: Identifying skills needs in small independent retailers, *Education and Training*, 44, (8/9), pp. 413-420.
- Coca-Stefaniak, A., Parker, C., Quin, S., Rinaldi, R., Byrom, J. (2009). Town centre management models: A European perspective. *Cities*. 26 (2), pp. 74-80.
- Edensor, T. and Millington, S. (In Press). Christmas lights displays and the creative production of spaces of generosity In Edensor, T., Leslie, D., Millington, S., & Rantisi, N. (eds) *Rethinking Creativity: Vernacular and Everyday Spaces of Cultural Production*. Routledge. London.
- De Nisco, A. and Warnaby, G. (2013). Shopping in Downtown. The effect of urban environment on service quality perception and behavioural intentions', *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 41 (9), pp. 654-670.
- De Nisco, A. and Warnaby, G. (2014). 'Urban Design and Tenant Variety Influences on Consumers' Emotions and Approach Behavior' *Journal of Business Research*, 67 (2), pp. 211-217.
- Forsberg, H., Medway, D. and Warnaby, G. (1999). Town centre management by co-operation: Evidence from Sweden, *Cities*, 16 (5), pp 315-322.
- Hallsworth, H., Ntounis, N., Parker, C and Quin, S. (2015). *Markets Matter*, Institute of Place Management, Manchester.
<http://www.placemanagement.org/media/19883/markets-matter-final.pdf>
- Henshaw, V., Medway, D., Warnaby, G. and Perkins, C. (2016). Marketing the city of smells, *Marketing Theory*, 16 (2), pp. 153-170.
- Hogg, S., Medway, D. and Warnaby, G. (2004). Town centre management schemes in the UK: Marketing and performance indicators, *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*, 9 (4), pp. 309-319.
- Hogg, S., Medway, D. and Warnaby, G. (2007). Performance management in UK town centre management schemes and US Business Improvement Districts: Comparisons and UK implications, *Environment and Planning A*, 39 (6), pp. 1513-1528.
- Hudson-Davis, R., Parker, C. and Byrom, J. (2002). Towards a healthy high street: Developing mentoring schemes for smaller retailers, *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 34 (7), pp. 248-255

World Town Leadership Summit 2016

- Kalandides, A. (2011). The problem with spatial identity: Revisiting the “Sense of Place”, *Journal of Place Management and Development*, 4 (1), pp. 28-39.
- Kalandides, A., and Vaiou, D. (2012). Ethnic’ Neighbourhoods? Practices of belonging and claims to the city, *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 19 (3), pp.254-266.
- Koeck, R. and Warnaby, G. (2015). ‘Digital chorographies: Conceptualising experiential representation and marketing of urban/architectural geographies’, *arq: Architectural Research Quarterly*, 19 (2), pp. 183-191.
- Le Feuvre, M., Medway, D. Warnaby, G., Ward, K. and Goatman, A. (2016). Understanding stakeholder interactions in urban partnerships’, *Cities*, 52, pp. 5-65.
- Medway, D., Alexander, A., Bennison, D. and Warnaby, G. (1999). ‘Retailers’ financial support for Town Centre Management, *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 27 (6), pp 246-255.
- Medway, D., Warnaby, G., Bennison, D. and Alexander, A. (2000). Reasons for retailers’ involvement in Town Centre Management, *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 28 (8), pp 368-378.
- Medway, D., Parker, C. and Roper, S. (2016). Litter, gender and brand: The anticipation of incivilities and perceptions of crime prevalence, *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, pp. 135-144
- Millington, S., Ntounis, N., Parker, C. and Quin, S. (2015). *Multifunctional centres: A sustainable role for town and city centres*, Institute of Place Management, Manchester.
<http://www.placemanagement.org/media/56154/Multifunctional-Centres.zip>
- Millington, S., and S. Kyte (2016). Ordinary place making 1: Place-based case studies, *RGS-IBG Annual International Conference*, London.
<http://conference.rgs.org/AC2016/924e0873-9b4c-4f50-a65f-d2f981ad615a>
- Parker, C., Anthony Winter- T. and Tabernacle, D. (2003). Learning by stealth: Introducing smaller retailers to the benefits of learning and training in Barnet, *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 31 (9), pp. 470-476.
- Parker, C., Ntounis, N., Quin, S., and I. Grime, (2014). High Street research agenda: identifying High Street research priorities, *Journal of Place Management and Development*, 7 (2), pp. 176 - 184.
- Parker, C., Ntounis, N., Quin, S., and Millington, S. (2016). *Identifying factors that influence vitality and viability*, Institute of Place Management, Manchester.
<http://www.placemanagement.org/media/57742/HSUK2020-End-of-Project-Reportcompressed.pdf>
- Parker, C., Roper S., and Medway, D. (2015). Back to basics in the marketing of place: the impact of litter upon place attitudes, *Journal of Marketing Management*, 31 (9-10), pp. 1090-1112.
- Roper, S. and Parker, C., (2013). Doing well by doing good: A quantitative investigation of the litter effect, *Journal of Business Research*. 66 (11), pp. 2262 –2268.

World Town Leadership Summit 2016

- Skinner, H. (2011). In search of the Genius LOCI: The essence of a place brand, *The Marketing Review*, 11 (3), pp.282-292.
- Stubbs, J. and Warnaby G. (2015). Working with stakeholders: Rethinking place branding from a practice perspective. In Kavaratzis, M. Warnaby, G. and Ashworth, G. (Eds.) *Rethinking Place Branding: Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions*. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, pp. 101-118.
- Oakes, S. and Warnaby, G. (2011). 'Conceptualising the management and consumption of live music in urban space' *Marketing Theory*, 11 (4), pp. 405-418.
- Warnaby, G. (2009). 'Towards a Service-Dominant place marketing logic', *Marketing Theory*, 9 (4), pp. 403-423.
- Warnaby, G. (2013). 'Synchronising retail and space: Using urban squares for competitive place differentiation' *Consumption Markets and Culture*, 16 (1), pp. 25-44.
- Warnaby, G., Alexander, A. and Medway, D. (1998). 'Town Centre Management in the UK: Review, synthesis and research agenda' *International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 8 (1), pp 15-31.
- Warnaby, G., Bennison, D., Davies, B.J. and Hughes, H. (2002). 'Marketing UK towns and cities as shopping destinations' *Journal of Marketing Management*, 18 (9/10), pp. 877-904.
- Warnaby, G., Bennison, D. and Davies, B.J. (2005a). 'Marketing town centres: Retailing and Town Centre Management', *Local Economy*, 20 (4), pp. 183-204.
- Warnaby, G., Bennison, D. and Davies, B.J. (2005b). 'Retailing and the marketing of urban places: A UK perspective', *International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 15 (2), pp. 191-215.
- Warnaby, G. and Medway, D. (2013) 'What about the 'Place' in Place Marketing?' *Marketing Theory*, 13 (3), pp. 345-363.
- Warnaby, G. and Medway D. (2015). Rethinking the place product from the perspective of the Service-Dominant Logic of Marketing. In Kavaratzis, M. Warnaby, G. and Ashworth, G. (Eds.) *Rethinking Place Branding: Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions*. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, pp. 33-50.